Satellites and Forecasts for Near-real-time Air Quality

Barron H. Henderson

2023-10-04

Co-authors: Pawan Gupta, Shobha Kondragunta, Phil Dickerson, Alqamah Sayeed, Hai Zhang, Janica Gordon, Halil Cakir, Brett Gantt, Benjamin Wells, and HAQAST AirNow Team

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this presentation are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.



2023-10-04

Motivation

- AirNow communicates air quality in real time
 - Millions of visitors per day during fire seasons
 - Simple distance (d⁻⁵) contours monitors only
- 4x more PurpleAir sensors than monitors
 - Increased the spatial coverage of monitored particulate matter.
 - Spoiler alert: sensor data improves predictions.
- Near-real-time satellite observations
 - Recent development by NOAA/NESDIS/STAR
 - NASA HAQAST project connecting AirNow to NOAA geostationary satellite data
- What about fusing AirNow, PurpleAir and satellites?

Example Day in AirNow and Aerosol Watch



Monitors and PurpleAir sensors

- Many agencies report monitor data to AirNow
 - ~1000 reporting monitors per hour
 - Publicly available thru AirNowAPI
- Schulte et al (2020) using PurpleAir
 - Residual Kriging with both AirNow and PurpleAir
 - NOAA Forecast model
 - Model Correction : $Y = M_n Krig(M_n O_n)$
 - Improved performance of PM2.5 in leave-one-out validation and compared to Federal Reference Monitors
- We use corrected PurpleAir low-cost sensors
 - Barkjohn et al. 2021 developed a national correction
 - Extended correction via RSIG





Schulte et al 2020 (10.1088/1748-9326/abb62b)

4. Enabling USEPA to ingest high-frequency satellite air quality data into the AirNow system

Team Lead: HAQAST investigator Pawan Gupta

Partners: Phil Dickerson and Barron Henderson with the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and Shobha Kondragunta with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)

HAQAST Members and Collaborators: Jianqiu Mao, Yang Liu, Kel Markert, Robert Levy, Randall Martin, Amber J. Soja, Martin Stuefer, Jenny Bratburd, Emily Gargulinksi, Yanshun Li, and Daniel Tong also contribute to this team. <u>https://haqast.org/tiger-teams/#2021-tiger-teams</u>

Satellite AOD



 $PM2.5_{ij} = a_{0ij} + a_{1ij}AOD$

Geographic Weighted Regression

1.Saveed et al: Deep Neural Network bias corrections.

2.O'Dell et al.: Public Health Benefits from Improved Identification of Severe Air Pollution Events with Geostationary Satellite Data, submitted to GeoHealth, 2023.

3.Zhang et al.: Nowcasting Applications of Geostationary Satellite Hourly Surface PM2.5 Data. Weather and Forecasting, 37(12), 2313-2329, 2022. doi: 10.1175/WAF-D-22-0114.1

4.Bratburd et al.: Air Quality Data When You Need It: Incorporating Satellite Data Updates into AirNow, EM Plus, 2022.

5. Zhang and Kondragunta .: Daily and Hourly Surface PM2.5 Estimation From Satellite AOD, Earth Space Sci, 8, doi: 1029/2020EA001599, 2021.



150.5 55.5 35.5 12.0 0.0

255.0

250.5

irNow Particulate Matter Monit

Partnership in Improving Air Quality Satellite Data Access

SEPA

Hourly National-scale Fusion Ensemble

- Interpolating bias to "correct" the forecast model*
 - NOAA's Forecast Model (NAQFC) as mediating layer
 - VNA Bias = sum $(d_n^{-2}(m_n o_n)) / sum(d_n^{-2})$ n = Voronoi Neighbor
 - $Y_i = NAQFC VNA Bias_i$
- One layer from AirNow (Y_{AN}) *observations*:
 - mostly regulatory grade hourly observations
 - paired with collocated grid cell.
- One layer from PurpleAir (Y_{PA}) *observations*:
 - low-cost sensor hourly observations with calibration**
 - Aggregated within grid cells to create a pseudo-observation
- One layer from GOES-PM25 (Y_{GOES}) "observations"
 - Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES)
 - Aerosol Optical Depth from the GOES Advanced Baseline Imager
 - Geographic Weighted Regression (GWR) against AirNow
 - Deep Neural Network Corrected (Sayeed et al in prep)







2023-10-04

Ensemble Averaging Method

- Simple fusion of bias corrected surfaces
 - NAQFC, AirNow, PurpleAir, GOES-PM25
 - Fuse the surfaces based on distance
 - Apply different weights to ensembles

•
$$Y_{AN,PA,GOES} = \alpha_{AN}Y_{AN} + \alpha_{PA}Y_{PA} + \alpha_{GOES}Y_{GOES}$$

• $\alpha'_{AN} = (1 \times d_{AN})^{-2}$

•
$$\alpha'_{PA} = (2 \times d_{PA})^{-2}$$

- $\alpha'_{GOES} = (10 \times d_{GOES})^{-2}$
- $\alpha'_{tot} = \alpha'_{AN} + \alpha'_{PA} + \alpha'_{GOES}$
- Normalize them all: $\alpha_i = \alpha'_i / \alpha'_{tot}$

•
$$Y_{AN,PA,GOES} = \beta \times Y_{AN,PA,GOES} + (1 - \beta) \times Y_{NAQFC}$$



Case Study 2023-06-14T17Z

- Fairly typical day June day in the soutl .= western domain.
- Large fire contributions in Canada and sweeping down through Minnesota, Wisconsin and further
- 4 data sources
 - AirNow Monitors (top)
 - PurpleAir sensors
 - GOES PM25
 - NAQFC (bottom)
- Estimates
- Bias Corrections
- Full fusion



Los Angeles: 2023-06-14T17Z



Canadian Wildfires: 2023-06-14T17Z













255.0

- 250.5

- 150.5

- 55.5

- 35.5

- 12.0

0.0

Canadian Wildfires: 2023-06-14T17Z



Canadian Wildfires: 2023-06-14T17Z



Evaluating the approach

- That was just one hour...
- Applied daylight from Jun 2023 to Sept 2023
 - IDW as in AirNow (*)
 - NAQFC from NOAA (*)
 - Corrected w/ AirNow: AN
 - Correction w/ AN and PurpleAir: AN+PA
 - Correction w/ AN, PA and GOES: AN+PA+GOES
- Predicted each AirNow monitor without that monitor in the fusion
 - n=1.3M = 12 h/d * 30 d/m * 3.75m * 1000 /h
- Statistics: Normalized Mean Bias, Normalized Mean Error, RMSE, Correlation.



Performance Summary: June-Sept 2023 (daylight hours; n=1.3M)

- Multiple statistics matter
 - Pearson correlation (y-axis)
 - centered Root Mean Squared Error (xaxis)
 - Reproduction of standard deviation
- The NAQFC has the lowest correlation, the highest RMSE, and the worst standard deviation.
- The AirNow and IDW have similar correlation, AirNow has better standard deviation.
- The fusion with PurpleAir improves standard deviation, correlation, and root mean squared error.
- The fusion with GOES is even better.



Evaluating the approach

- That was just one hour...
- Applied hourly data from Jun 2021 to Jun 2022
 - IDW as in AirNow (*)
 - NAQFC from NOAA (*)
 - Corrected w/ AirNow: AN
 - Correction w/ AN and PurpleAir: AN+PA
 - Correction w/ AN, PA and GOES: AN+PA+GOES
- Predicted each AirNow monitor without that monitor in the fusion
 - n=8M = 8760 h/y * 1000 /h
- Statistics: Normalized Mean Bias, Normalized Mean Error, RMSE, Correlation.



Performance Summary: June 2021-June 2022 (All hours; n=8M)

- Multiple statistics matter
 - Pearson correlation (y-axis)
 - centered Root Mean Squared Error (xaxis)
 - Reproduction of standard deviation
- The NAQFC has the lowest correlation, the highest RMSE, and the worst standard deviation.
- The AirNow and IDW have similar correlation, AirNow has better standard deviation.
- The fusion with PurpleAir improves standard deviation, correlation, and root mean squared error.



• Is the story more complex? When does one fail and the other succeeds?



Leave-1-out Validation National Mean Bias

- oIDW and aVNA(AN) have the most consistent bias.
- aVNA(AN,PA) has highest bias at night but is still quite good.
 - Currently, we use a single bias correction for PurpleAir.
 - Humidity varies with time of day and may need more complex correction.
 - Also, FEM technologies are evaluated most strictly for daily average concentration.
- Remember, this is validation. In application, the prediction at the monitor is equal to the monitor.



Summary

- AirNow needs an updated interpolation method.
 - EPA has long used models and statistical fusion to fill gaps with regulatory but has not incorporated these methods into AirNow.
 - Schulte et al. demonstrated including models and PurpleAir improved on simple interpolations and applied it in an AirNow-like system.
 - HAQAST Tiger Team evaluated GOES PM25 for real-time-applications.
- Fusion with PurpleAir is ready.
 - Discontinuities are less stark because datasets are more spatially consistent.
 - Value of PurpleAir is obvious because they are dense near monitors.
- Fusion with GOES PM25 ongoing work
 - HAQAST Tiger Team 2021 (Gupta) now 2023 (Yang Liu)
 - Conceptually, the satellite value is highest away from monitors and sensors... making it hard to evaluate
 - ~5% of monitors are further than 30km from their nearest withheld monitor...



Questions?

henderson.barron@epa.gov



2023-10-04