Regional Distributions of Atmospheric Emission, Concentration, and Deposition of Particulate Elements in the Canadian Athabasca Oil Sands Region

Leiming Zhang¹, Abdulla Al Mamun¹, Irene Cheng¹, Fuquan Yang², Xin Qiu²

¹Environment and Climate Change Canada (leiming.zhang@ec.gc.ca); ²SLR Consulting (Canada) Ltd

Background Information

 Elements (mostly metals/metalloids) are associated with particulate matter, and have both positive and negative impacts on humans. Some elements such as Cu, Fe, and Zn are essential for human body, other elements such as Cd, Pb, and Hg are toxic, even at low exposure levels. USEPA recognized 13 elements (Sb, As, Be, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Hg, Ni, Se, Ag, Tl, and Zn) as priority pollutant.

• Surface mining in the Athabasca Oil Sands Region (AOSR) of northern Alberta, Canada is a source of airborne particulate elements. The Oil Sands Monitoring program initiated in 2011 by the Governments of Canada and Province of Alberta is aimed at studying the cumulative

Methodology for deposition calculation

Flux calculation framework



- effects of oil sands development through environmental monitoring and research of contaminants including the major and trace elements.
- •Goals: characterize ambient concentration and atmospheric deposition of the elements; conduct source apportionment analysis and quantify regional emissions; and assess the impact of oil sands activities to the total atmospheric deposition.
- •Challenges: only ambient concentration data and only at four sites are available; no precipitation chemistry measurement; no existing emission inventory available.

Methodology for emission inventory development

•Develop an emission inventory for elements in PM_{2.5} and PM_{2.5-10} by speciating the Air Emissions Inventory for particulate matter based on the USEPA speciation profiles in SPECIATE v4.5 database.

•Evaluate the developed emission inventory by using this inventory as input to the CALPUFF dispersion model and simulate elements concentrations in both $PM_{2.5}$ and $PM_{2.5-10}$, and then compare with measurements collected at three sites during 2016-2017.



Total deposition flux
Dry dep:
$$F_d = C_F v_F + C_C v_C$$

Wet dep: $F_w = C_F WP + C_C WP$

v_F: dry deposition velocity of PM_{2.5} *v_C*: dry deposition velocity of PM_{2.5-10} *W*: element scavenging ratio *P*: precipitation rate

Results from deposition study



•Domain-average dry and wet fluxes for total elements were 15.7 and 45.2 mg/m²/year (or 26% and 74%), respectively

Element fluxes peaked at the center of the domain and decreased outward; Fluxes varied up to 3 orders of magnitude across the domain
Spatial patterns of the dry, wet, and total deposition were similar Mamun et al., 2023. Environ. Pollut., 898, 165519

Results from emission inventory development

- For the summed emissions of elements in PM_{2.5}, the top two source categories were O Sources (1741 tonnes/year, 16.3% of the total PM_{2.5}) and Non-OS Dust (470 tonnes/year, 4.4%). The summed emissions from the remaining seven sectors were approximately 100 tonnes/year and comprised ~1% of the total PM_{2.5} emissions.
- The top two source categories for summed elements in PM_{2.5-10} were OS Sources (6088 tonnes/year, 21.5% of the total PM_{2.5-10}) and Non-OS Dust (2489 tonnes/year, 8.8%). The summed emissions from the rest of the seven sectors were approximately 210 tonnes/year and comprised ~0.7% of the



Figure. Regional total emissions of the sum of 29 elements in $PM_{2.5}$ and $PM_{2.5-10}$ from nine source sectors

Methodology for investigating contributions of the oil sands sources to the ambient concentrations

Category	PM _{2.5}		PM _{2.5-10}	
	non-point	point	non-point	point
Oil Sands mines, Off-road Fleet	15.2%	0%	0.4%	0%
Oil Sands mines, Unpaved road dust	60.3%	0%	66.6%	0%

- Group emission sources into oil
 industrial activities and other
 sources, and run the dispersion
 model for sensitivity tests: 3 model
 runs for PM_{2.5} and 2 model runs for
 PM_{2.5-10}
- Mass balance is assessed based on model out of ambient

Results from source attribution model sensitivity study



total PM_{2.5-10} emissions.



Figure. Comparison of observed (Obs) and modeled (Mod) concentrations at three monitoring sites: (a) sum of 24 fine elements and 9 coarse elements, and (b) sum of 10 fine priority elements and 2 coarse priority elements

No systematic positive or negative model bias: Modeled PM₁₀ concentrations of all elements were very close to the measurements at an industrial site with the highest ambient concentration, overestimated by 65% at another industrial site with moderate ambient concentration, and underestimated by 27% at a remote site with very low ambient concentration.

Yang et al., 2023. Environ. Res., 220, 115223

OS-Industrial OS-Dust Non-OS

OS Non-OS

Figure. Source attribution results from model sensitivity tests

•Fine mode

•OS vs Non-OS

•For all elements together, 78% of the ambient mass concentrations were from the oil sands related sources (OS-Industrial and OS-Dust)

- Except for Co, all elements primarily came from the oil sands related sources; e.g., Se (96%), Ga (93%), Mo (91%)
 OS-Industrial vs OS-Dust
- Aside from S, Ba, P, Zn, Cd, Se, Co, and Sn, for all elements OS-Dust source was dominant

•Coarse mode

•OS vs Non-OS
•For all elements together, 68% of the ambient mass concentrations were from the oil sands related sources
•Except for Sb, Co, and Sn, all elements primarily came from the oil sands related sources, e.g., Se (99%), Ga (84%), S (83%)

Yang et al., 2023. . Sci. Total Environ., 898, 165519